

**MINUTES OF 106 CONSULTING PARTY MEETING
BANCROFT ST. (GLENWOOD TO ASHLAND)
COLLINGWOOD PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
SEPTEMBER 26, 2014**

Dave Dysard called the meeting to order about 7PM and an attendance sheet was passed around for signatures. Present were: C. Kutsche, K. Schumaker, J. Patrick, D. Neuendorff, P. Radley, K. Gagen, C.J. Kuzma, M. Jarred, T. Moore, T. Effler, S. Frank, and L. Derr , along with D. Dysard, J. Crandall, and K. Smith (ODOT). Dave said that the topic of this meeting is specific to how the project affects the historic character of the neighborhood.

There was a discussion of the Secretary of Interior Standards along with the need to have the funding necessary to address the historic issues. Without funding how can these historic issues be properly addressed? The budget always is an issue on a project and sometimes additional funding can be found such as safety funding for the roundabout if it is determined to be a part of the Bancroft Street Project and an effective safety countermeasure. There could be historic features that will require additional funding from other sources, but it depends on the choices made for design. Are there still transportation enhancement funds available? No, that program was eliminated by Congress in the renewal of the Highway Act, however many of the activities previously eligible for funding under the enhancement program can qualify for a new Transportation Alternatives Program funding. The Transportation Alternatives program, however, includes many more eligible items and is funded at a greatly reduced level so funding will be extremely competitive. Our region already has those funds programmed through 2018.

The discussion then shifted to some of the design elements of the project as follows:

1. Sidewalks: Would like to preserve the existing 6' width, but cost estimate is based on 5' (City Standard).
 - Like to preserve sandstone, but don't know the cost to do so or how much can be salvaged?
 - Is there an alternate way to use concrete to get the look and finish (color additive/texture) to mimic sandstone? Don't just store, encourage reuse of sandstone especially at intersections.
2. Curbs: Similar issues as sidewalks, but feel even less is salvagable.
3. Underground Utilities: Most likely a budget buster and where would dollars come from? Invite Toledo Edison to next D.R.T. meeting. While desirable, is this an historic 106 issue? Could at least the street lighting be underground? Includes cable and telephone.
4. TREE CANNOPY LOOK **: Possible on south side of Bancroft St., especially with underground street lighting, but north side requires smaller height of trees with Toledo Edison policy on trimming. **
5. TREE REPLACEMENT **: What is proper mix of trees and why do other cities seem to have success with plantings in smaller tree lawns? **

6. TREE LAWNS **: Like the look of existing 10' +/- tree lawns. Try to keep them as much as possible. **

** Seemed to be of high importance.

As the meeting continued, the discussion shifted from specific design elements to general comments, questions, and suggestions as follows:

1. Why is the funding (budget) already set for project without Section 106 input?
There is no project with a budget of funding. The need here is to reconstruct the pavement and the City added \$ 70,000.00 to \$ 80,000.00 for neighborhood amenities which represents about 4% of construction budget.
2. Don't feel alternate C (median) fits character of neighborhood. Because of extra 2' of pavement, it makes saving trees more difficult and reduces tree lawn width. Drop it.
3. Could a rumble strip be used on alternate B to narrow look and feel to driver to slow traffic?
4. Is there any way of estimating amount of street bricks that might be present and reusing them?
5. Could a construction cost breakdown be gotten for the project so that consulting parties have a feel for the cost of different elements?

Toward the end of the meeting, Tammy Michalak submitted additional her comments which are attached to the minutes and are being shared with the consulting parties. The 106 Consulting Party Meeting concluded about 7:45PM and the attendees had the opportunity to view the four open house stations which concluded at 8PM.

ATTACHMENT: Tammy Michalak Comments