

DRAFT

DRT DISCUSSION NOTES

NOVEMBER 13, 2013

Dave Dysard reviewed the Agenda for the meeting. The purpose was to reach consensus on a recommendation from the DRT to the neighborhood for presentation at the November 20 public meeting and prepare for the meeting. Attendance consisted of 4 City staff (Dysard, Stephens, Bartlett, Lechlak) – 1 consultant (Meyers, Crandall out sick) – representative from TLCPC (Maguire), and 12 residents (Lisa Kerrigan, Tammy Michalak, David and Leslie Neuendorff, Sue Postal, Ken Schumaker, John Kirkbride, Jack Patrick, Toni Moore, Martin Jarret, Lewis Derr and Mary Weil). The group was asked to provide any comments on the 10-30-13 notes by Friday (11-15-13).

Dysard began discussion with a review of a staff meeting called to outline how best to help the group reach consensus. It was agreed that there was not a clear result of last meeting and the choice between roundabout or taper on the west end was not made. It was decided that staff would “have a go at” trying to outline what might be a consensus recommendation that the team could react to. The draft recommendation included extending the project west to Monroe Street to allow narrowing pavement west of the I-75 bridge, reconfiguring the bridge to include wider sidewalk area on the south to reinforce the narrower pavement cross section, realigning the ramp for eastbound turns to become part of the Glenwood intersection, adding bike lanes throughout, and adding protected parking (with bump outs) from midblock between Robinwood and Scottwood to east of Parkwood and from east of Collingwood to west of Ashland.

There were questions and discussion of the various elements of this recommendation and overall there was consensus around this recommendation. All agreed it was a compromise but seemed to address the major concerns voiced by different team members while providing for both traffic safety and neighborhood concerns. Individual members of the team were polled and all agreed that this was the consensus recommendation of the team.

It was also agreed that there are still many detail items to discuss. There was much discussion of intersection treatments with varying perspectives on the safety and effectiveness of traffic lights, four way stops or just marked crossings for both pedestrians and vehicles. Traffic lights are not “warranted” under the eight criteria listed in Ohio Revised Code but are “grandfathered” at Scottwood and Parkwood and can be maintained but only at 100% City cost – no federal participation). Dennis said that conduit will be placed underground regardless so we have options in the future. Also, there was a “negative offset” of the turn lanes at Collingwood (they don’t line up directly across from each other) that Stephanie will attempt to straighten out if it can be done with minimal impact to the general agreed upon arrangement and dimensions. Tree location and species remain a concern as well as use of recycled materials at corners or crossings. All of these elements will be decided during detail design and are not addressed at this stage in plan development.

Discussion turned to the public meeting. Jack and Ken agreed to help with presenting at the meeting. We agreed to do slides (power point type) of the plan to show everyone plus have three hard copies of the recommended plan on boards for people to look at in more detail, ask questions individually with staff and DRT neighborhood members, and record questions/comments on post-it notes (or index cards). It was suggested that Dave convene the meeting and John or Dave can briefly review agenda and format for the meeting (highlighting to make sure comments are recorded on notes) and explain where we are in the design process. Then Jack can present the design principles that we agreed upon and a general review of the team process to evaluate alternatives, and the general cross section. Ken can then review the proposed configuration in more detail block by block. Dave can conclude the presentation portion of the meeting with next steps and next meetings and adjourn to the three displays for questions and comments.

We discussed coordination with Section 106 Consulting Parties and the need for a separate meeting. It was agreed that the 106 meeting should be held after the public meeting. It was felt that we could do this on the same night so the public meeting is to conclude by 7:15 and there will be a section 106 coordination meeting scheduled from 7:30-8:00 pm.

We agreed on the need for another public meeting in spring 2014.

The last item of business was to set the next DRT meeting. A date of Wednesday January 22, 2014 at 5:30 p.m. at Mansion View was agreed to. Adjournment was about 7 p.m.

Attachments:

- Proposed Agenda 11-13-2013

ATTACHMENT:

BANCROFT STREET DESIGN REVIEW TEAM

November 13, 2013

Mansion View Inn

PROPOSED AGENDA

- 5:30 p.m. Review discussion notes of October 30 meeting (Dysard)
- 5:35 p.m. Review discussion notes of staff meeting October 31, 2013 (Dysard)
- 5:45 p.m. Review / discuss / modify, as needed, the possible consensus recommendation that was developed (Bartlett / Lechlak)
- Monroe to Lawrence
  - Lawrence to Glenwood
  - Glenwood to Collingwood
  - Collingwood to Ashland
- 6:15 p.m. Reaction / confirm consensus (Dysard)
- 6:25 p.m. Presenting the recommendation to the neighborhood public meeting?  
Materials, presenters, displays (Dysard)
- 6:45 p.m. Section 106 Coordination – meeting same night (like last time) OK?  
Meeting day before, earlier that evening? (Dysard)
- 6:55 p.m. Next steps / next DRT meeting? (Dysard)
- 7:10 p.m. Roundtable for comments and adjournment