

DRT DISCUSSION NOTES

October 9, 2013

A. Attendance / Agenda (see Attachment A)

Citizens: Lisa Kerrigan, John Kirkbride, Martin Jarrett, Tammy Michalak, David Neuendorff, Jack Patrick, Sue Postal, Ken Schumaker

Staff: S. Bartlett, D. Dysard, J. Crandall, R. Meyers and Doug Stephens

Other: Randy Swope (Toledo Edison) and Tom Gibbons (TLCPC)

B. Notes for the 9/11/13 DRT meeting were sent out to everyone before the meeting. There were no corrections or comments from the team. Dysard said to let him know of any changes by Friday, 10/11/13. He apologized for the City's computer system being down and resulting lack of a project web site. Dave also noted that notes for the neighborhood portion of public meeting on 9/26/13 were distributed. These notes include the comments from the displays.

C. Next Dave introduced Randy Swope of Toledo Edison to discuss potential project ideas and issues. He discussed the potential of overhead facilities being placed underground in future. An order of magnitude of cost for this project would be \$1,000,000 for six conduits (4 T.E. / 2 others) and manholes. Edison would desire a 12' easement. All this cost for future would be by others not Edison. He stated that service is presently in conduits in the Bancroft Street bridge over I-75, but that the new bridge will be overhead wires per ODOT.

In regard to underground street lighting, that would be at additional cost and the type of fixtures were reviewed along with the poles. Mr. Swope said that the standard fiberglass poles are not strong enough for banners, flowers, etc. and that would be an additional cost. Street lighting cost is a front foot assessment handled by Scott Bishop with City of Toledo. It might be possible to use a combination of overhead street lights with pole lights, but wasn't sure of city policy.

In regard to moving the main three phase overhead service from Bancroft Street to Virginia, Randy said he would develop some conceptual costs for the group for the additional construction cost but that he must maintain three phase service on Bancroft for Ann Manor and any other major users. Virginia doesn't currently have three phase and that would have an impact on trees and properties along Virginia to accept this larger service.

The group requested the following additional conceptual cost from Randy for construction to do the following:

- 1) Provide conduits today for future underground placement of lines on Bancroft between new I-75 bridge and Ashland (provide only conduit and manholes now).
- 2) Provide stronger posts for combination street lighting (overhead and post mounted) with post mounted wires fed from underground.

- 3) Shift main service from Bancroft to Virginia across the neighborhood (Mr. Swope wanted to confirm in the field if that was even possible).
- D. The next item was to confirm consensus on the principles of design which was approved (Attachment C). There was discussion on need for some street parking at Ann Manor. Ken Schumaker volunteered to contact Ann Manor and provide a summary of parking needs. John Crandall will provide assistance if needed. In regard to parking, the discussion then turned to the need for additional parking at Seventh Day Adventist Church. An adjacent parcel 74' x 200' will be coming available because of back property taxes and there was discussion to meet with Land Bank and church officials to see if church would pursue it for parking. It was determined by those present that this item was desirable, but well beyond the scope of the project.

Next the staff recommendation to not consider a 10' off pavement multi-use trail (Exhibit 7 of prior meeting notes) from the August 28, 2013 DRT meeting was discussed and it was agreed that the off pavement trail should be dropped from further consideration.

- E. The next discussion centered on what was heard at the neighborhood meeting and open house along with the Minutes and summary of the post-it notes on the alternate displays. After a lengthy consideration, there was agreement that the neighborhood expressed many concerns with Alternate C (median) and seemed to favor Alternate B (bike lanes) expressing the importance of both to a sustainable neighborhood. In the end, it seemed that the Design Review Team was together on the following:
- DRT seemed to favor Alternate A (parking)
 - Evaluate 2 lanes (28') with Alternate A, B and C
 - Neighborhood favored Alternate B and disliked Alternate C
 - Two lanes (28') share the road – would require bump ins at locations to accommodate parking, bikes in share the road lanes, would accommodate buses, slows traffic
 - 10' multi-use trail is out
 - Wide tree lawn is very important
 - Maplewood and Bancroft are to stay connected
 - Still right-of-way concerns and funding issues for roundabout (tapers are still an option – even a median should be considered between Glenwood and Robinwood – Is there a compact urban roundabout design?)
- F. Minutes of the 106 portion of the neighborhood meeting on September 26 had been prepared, but were not typed yet but will be distributed next week. Stephanie Bartlett reported that she had walked the site and only 8% of the walks are sandstone. She also passed out a summary sheet of the encroachments between sidewalk and right-of-way. With limited time, there was a quick review of what staff heard at the 106 meeting and there seemed to be agreement on the following:
- Keep walks at 6' in width and in same location as much as possible (save sandstone for reuse) – adds \$45,000

- 11' tree lawn very important – don't like no tree lawn – could there be a minimum width?
- No objection to a rumble strip for bike lane (4 to 6 inches wide)
- One issue came up to be reviewed with traffic engineering is need for designated crosswalks (brick?) with no signal? Between Glenwood and Collingwood (at Parkwood, Scottwood, Robinwood?)

G. As the meeting approached 7:30 pm, Dave Dysard passed out a suggested decision matrix for each member to take home and bring to next meeting. The DRT felt it was early to compare the Glenwood Gateway options and G-1 and G-2 were dropped from the matrix. (note: Alternative A-B from Ken Schumaker was added after the meeting for consideration.)

H. The DRT also scheduled the following meetings:

-DRT	Wed., Oct. 16	5:30 pm	Mansion View	Decide on Pref. Alt.
-DRT	Wed., Oct. 30	5:30 pm	Mansion View	Decide on Pref Alt.
-Neighborhood & 106	Wed., Nov. 20	6:00 pm	C'ngwood Pres.	Pref. Alt.

Attachments:

- A) Agenda 10-9-13 meeting
- B) Project Design Principles (10-9-13)
- C) Enroachments
- D) Proposed matrix